

Core Question 1: Is the educational program a success?

The Academic Performance Framework, outlined in Core Question 1, gauges the academic success of schools in serving their target populations and closing the achievement gap in Indianapolis. Core Question 1 consists of seven indicators designed to measure schools on how well their students perform and grow on standardized testing measures, attendance, and school-specific measures.

Note: The Academic Performance Framework has been revised to include additional measures and to reflect changes in state accountability systems. For this reason, not all historical ratings are based on the listed indicator targets, and some historical ratings are not available.

1.1. Is the sch system?	ool's academic	performance	meeting state	expectations,	as measured	by Indiana's a	ccountability	
Indicator	Does not med	Does not meet standard		School has received an 'F' for the most recent school year OR has received a 'D' for the last two consecutive years.				
	Approaching	standard	School has re	eceived a 'C' for	r the most rece	ent school yea	r.	
Targets	Meets standard		School has re	eceived a 'B' for	r the most rece	ent school yea	r.	
	Exceeds standard		School has received an 'A' for the most recent school year.					
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Rating	MS	MS	AS	DNMS				
	Sub-ratings				Points	Result	Sub-rating	
Sub-ratings	Elementary/Middle School Letter Grade				0	F	DNMS	
	High School Grade Letter Grade					Not Applicable	2	

The Indiana State Board of Education awarded Andrew J. Brown Academy an **F** for its 2013-14 school year performance. A school receives its final letter grade by earning proficiency points in both English/Language Arts and Math, and receiving a combination of bonus and penalty points based on student growth. For detailed information


about how the Indiana Department of Education calculates A-F letter grades, click <u>here</u>.

In Spring 2014, 62.2% of Andrew J. Brown Academy students passed the English/Language Arts portion of ISTEP+, while 63.7% of students passed the Mathematics portion.

In English/Language Arts, Andrew J. Brown Academy did not earn any bonus points for high growth in the either super subgroup. However, the school did receive a penalty for overall low growth.

In Mathematics, the school did not earn any bonus points for high growth, but received a penalty for overall low growth.


Based on its ISTEP+ proficiency levels and its bonuses and penalties, the school received an **F** for the 2013-14 school year and received a Does Not Meet Standard on the Office of Education Innovation (OEI) performance framework.





Due to this letter grade, the Indiana Department of Education labeled Andrew J Brown Academy as a Priority school under Indiana's federal ESEA waiver, and the school was required to create a Student Achievement Plan for the 2014-15 school year.

1.2. Are students making substantial and adequate gains over time, as measured by the Indiana Growth Model							
	Only applicable	e to schools serv	ving students in a	iny one of, or co	mbination of, gr	ades 4-8.	
Indicator Targets	Does not meet standard		Results from the Indiana Growth Model indicate that less than 60.0% of students are making sufficient and adequate gains ('typical' or 'high' growth).				
	Approaching standard		Results from the Indiana Growth Model indicate that 60.0-69.9% of students are making sufficient and adequate gains ('typical' or 'high' growth).				
ruigets	Meets standard		Results from the Indiana Growth Model indicate that 70.0-79.9% of students are making sufficient and adequate gains ('typical' or 'high' growth).				
	Exceeds standard		Results from the Indiana Growth Model indicate that at least 80.0% of students are making sufficient and adequate gains ('typical' or 'high' growth).				
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Rating	AS	AS	DNMS	DNMS			



Each year, the Mayor's Office looks at a weighted average of students earning typical or high growth to ensure that students are making substantial and adequate gains over time. For more information on this calculation, see page 19 of the expanded criteria of the OEI performance framework.

In 2013-14, 51.1% of Andrew J. Brown Academy students made typical or high growth in English/Language Arts, while 43.9% made those gains in Mathematics.

As shown in the table below, a weighted average across both subjects shows that 47.5% of students at Andrew J. Brown Academy made sufficient gains in 2013-14. Thus, the school received a **Does Not Meet Standard** on the OEI performance framework.


Subject	Low Growth	Typical Growth	High Growth	Total Sufficient
English/Language Arts	48.9%	27.1%	24.0%	51.1%
Math	56.1%	32.5%	11.4%	43.9%
	47.5%			



1.3. Does the school demonstrate that students are improving, the longer they are enrolled at the school?								
	Does not meet standard		Less than 60.0% of students who have been enrolled at the school 3 or more years demonstrate proficiency on state standardized assessments.					
Indicator	Approaching	standard	enrolled 3 or	At least 60.0% of students enrolled 2 years and 70.0% of students enrolled 3 or more years demonstrate proficiency on state standardized assessments.				
Targets	Meets standard		At least 70.0% of students enrolled 2 years and 80.0% of students enrolled 3 or more years demonstrate proficiency on state standardized assessments.					
	Exceeds standard		At least 80.0% of students enrolled 2 years and 90.0% of students enrolled 3 or more years demonstrate proficiency on state standardized assessments.					
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Rating	Not Evaluated		l	DNMS				

Many Mayor-sponsored charter schools are serving student populations from chronically low-performing schools. Recognizing this, the OEI performance framework examines student proficiency as a function of how many years students have been enrolled at the school – allowing more time for the school to reach a high level of student proficiency on standardized assessments.


Of those students enrolled at Andrew J. Brown Academy for two years, 29.3% were proficient on both English/Language Arts and Mathematics. Of those enrolled at the school for three or more years, 63.1% were proficient on both subjects. Thus, the school earned a **Does Not Meet Standard** on the OEI performance framework.





1.4. Is the sch	ool providing	an equitable	education for	students of all race	es and socioed	conomic backg	rounds?
	Does not meet standard		School has more than 15% difference in the percentage of students passing standardized assessments amongst American Indian, Black, Asian, Hispanic, White and Multiracial student groups and socioeconomic statuses.				
Indicator	Approaching standard		School has no more than 15% difference in the percentage of students passing standardized assessments amongst American Indian, Black, Asian, Hispanic, White and Multiracial student groups and socioeconomic statuses.				
Targets	Meets standard		School has no more than 10% difference in the percentage of students passing standardized assessments amongst American Indian, Black, Asian, Hispanic, White and Multiracial student groups and socioeconomic statuses.				
	Exceeds standard		School has more than 5% difference in the percentage of students passing standardized assessments amongst American Indian, Black, Asian, Hispanic, White and Multiracial student groups and socioeconomic statuses.				
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Rating	Not Evaluated		DNMS				

Each year, the Indiana Department of Education reports student results disaggregated by race/ethnicity groups and socecionomic status. Disaggregated performance for Andrew J. Brown Academy is captured below.



While 50.6% of all Andrew J. Brown Academy students were proficient, there are gaps between the overall performance of a variety of student groups.

As shown in the left graph above, the largest of these gaps occurs between Black student proficiency and Hispanic student proficiency, resulting in a difference of 5.2%.

Additionally, as shown in the right graph above, Andrew J. Brown Academy has a proficiency gap between Paid lunch student proficiency and Free/Reduced lunch student proficiency, resulting in a difference of 22.9%.



The larger of these two differences, the 23.5% difference in Socioeconomic groups, led to Andrew J. Brown Academy receiving a **Does Not Meet Standard** on the OEI performance framework for the 2013-14 school year.

1.5. Is the school's attendance rate strong?								
Indicator	Does not meet standard		School's attendance rate is less than 95.0%.					
Targets	Meets standard		School's attendance rate is great than or equal to 95.0%.					
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Rating		Not Evaluated		MS				
			Sub-ratings			Result	Rating	
	Elementary/Middle School Grades					96.9%	MS	
	High School Grades				Not Ap	plicable		

Starting at the age of 7, students in Indiana are required to attend school regularly. Habitual truancy is defined by the Indiana Department of Education as 10 or more days absent from school, meaning students are required to attend school for 95% of the 180 days in the school year.

Andrew J. Brown Academy had an aggregate attendance rate of 96.9%, although there are a few grade levels that dip below the 95% standard. Due to its aggregate rate, though, Andrew J. Brown Academy received a **Meets Standard** on the OEI performance framework.

Attendance by Grade Level						
Kindergarten	95.6%	✓				
1 st Grade	94.7%	×				
2 nd Grade	94.4%	×				
3 rd Grade	98.6%	\checkmark				
4 th Grade	98.7%	\checkmark				
5 th Grade	97.8%	\checkmark				
6 th Grade	98.2%	\checkmark				
7 th Grade	97.5%	\checkmark				
8 th Grade	98.3%	\checkmark				
Overall Average	96.9%	\checkmark				




1.6. Is the school outperforming schools that the students would have been assigned to attend?							
	Does not meet standard		School's overall performance in terms of proficiency and growth is lower than that of the schools the students would have been assigned to attend.				
Indicator	Approaching standard		School's overall performance in terms of proficiency and growth outpaces that of the schools the students would have been assigned to attend.				
Targets	Meets standard		School's overall performance in terms of both proficiency and growth outpaces that the schools the students would have been assigned to attend.				
	Exceeds standard		School's overall performance in terms of both proficiency and growth outpaces that the schools the students would have been assigned to attend.				
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Rating	ES	MS	MS	AS			

The Office of Education Innovation compared the performance of Andrew J. Brown Academy to that of Marion County public schools that students would have been assigned to attend based on their place of residence.


The figures on the following page display the results of this comparison. In these figures, yellow bubbles represent the traditional public schools that students would have been assigned to attend if they did not attend Andrew J. Brown Academy. The size of each yellow bubble is proportional to the number of students who would have attended that school. The horizontal axis line represents the average ISTEP+ performance in Indiana, while the vertical axis line represents the 50% growth percentile. Schools located above the horizontal axis had better-than-average performance, while schools located to the right of the vertical axis showed better-than-average growth. The red bubble represents the average performance and improvement of all assigned schools. The blue bubble represents the performance of Andrew J. Brown Academy students.

As shown below, Andrew J. Brown Academy students' overall proficiency outpaced that of their peers in English/Language Arts, although Andrew J. Brown Academy students had a lower Median Growth Percentile (MGP) in ELA.





As shown below, Andrew J. Brown Academy students' overall proficiency outpaced that of their peers in Math, although Andrew J. Brown Academy students had a lower Median Growth Percentile (MGP) in Math.



In combination, Andrew J. Brown Academy students outperformed their peers in two of four categories, earning the rating **Approaching Standard** for the 2013-14 school year.

1.7. Is the school meeting its school-specific educational goals?							
Indicator Targets	Does not meet standard	School does not meet standard on either school-specific educational goal.					



	Approaching	g standard	School is 1) approaching standard on one school-specific educational goal, while not meeting standard on the second goal, 2) approaching standard on both school-specific educational goals, or 3) meeting standard on one school-specific educational goal, while approaching standard on the second goal.						
	Meets stand	lard	2) meeting s	School is 1) meet standard on both school-specific educational goals, or 2) meeting standard on one school-specific educational goal while exceeding standard on the second goal.					
	Exceeds star	ndard	School is exceeding standard on both school-specific educational goals.						
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7		
School	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17		
Rating		Not evaluated	d	DNMS					
	Goal					Result	Rating		
School- specific	80% of students enrolled at Andrew J. Brown Academy will make their necessary growth as measured by NWEA reading assessment.					29.6%	DNMS		
Information	80% of students enrolled at Andrew J. Brown Academy will make their necessary growth as measured by NWEA math assessment.					29.6%	DNMS		

Each year, Mayor-sponsored charter schools set two educational goals that are aligned to or support the school's unique mission. All data for school-specific goals is self-reported by the individual school.

In 2013-14, Andrew J. Brown Academy set its first goal around student growth measured by NWEA reading assessments. The school reports that 29.6% of students completed the requirements for the goal, earning a **Does Not Meet Standard** on their first goal.

Andrew J. Brown Academy set its second goal around student growth measured by NWEA math assessments. The school reports that 29.6% of students complete the requirements for this goal, earning the school a **Does**Not Meet Standard on its second goal.

Overall, Andrew J. Brown Academy received a **Does Not Meet Standard** on the OEI performance framework for this indicator.

School Mission Statement

The mission of Andrew J Brown Academy is to provide students with a challenging academic program which develops all students' abilities to master fundamental academic skills & ultimately increase academic achievement while also instilling a sense of family, community & leadership within all of our students.